



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/813,958

03/31/2004

Hartej Singh

42P18635

5882

7590

03/11/2008

Jan Little-Washington
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP
Seventh Floor
12400 Wilshire Boulevard
Los Angeles, CA 90025

EXAMINER

JAIN, RAJ K

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2616

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/11/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/813,958	Applicant(s) SINGH ET AL.	
	Examiner RAJ K. JAIN	Art Unit 2616	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/29/08.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3,5-10,12-21 and 23-25 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 4,11,22 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 31 March 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 1, 10-14, 16, and 19-25 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 1 line 9 replace "the" with "a" before "defined" and similarly for claim 10.

Claims 10 and 16 replace "logic" with "a controller", further, replace logic in claims 10-14 and 16 with "controller".

Claim 19 replace " a machine-accessible medium having data that, when accessed by a machine, cause the machine to perform the operations comprising:" with "a computer readable medium encoded with computer executable instructions to perform the operations comprising:", further in claims 20-25 replace "machine accessible medium further includes data that cause the machine" with "computer readable medium further includes computer executable instructions".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3, 5-10, 12-16, 19-21 and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wu et al (US 2004/0177087 A1).

Regarding claim(s) 1, 10, 16 and 19, Wu discloses a method, comprising:
receiving a first value representing an allowed amount of target traffic (Fig. 5, para 14 an allowed amount of target traffic is determined by traffic profile for each AF class type) and second value representing a time interval during which to receive the allowed amount of target traffic (Fig. 5; para 44, a time interval is determined based on a timer function as illustrated in Fig. 4), the first value and the second value defining a percentage of target traffic allowed through a port (ratio of target traffic or fair share of TCP vs UDP traffic in a given time represents the percentage of traffic allowed thru the port; Fig. 5 and para 44), the port having a port speed (Figs 1 and 5, each port has a specific speed as illustrated by the TCP target rate in Kbps); and

determining that port speed changed by a factor of N (Fig. 5, TCP rate varies based on the bandwidth of the bottleneck port selected, para 44;)

scaling the second value by a factor of $1/N$ (weights are adjusted periodically for a determined or dynamic interval and thus as the port speed changes by a value of say N likewise its rate of transfer changes by a factor of $1/N$ in order to maintain ratio proportionality, paras 38 and 44), respectively; and

based on the allowed amount of target traffic and the scaled second value, dropping target traffic when a percentage of target traffic exceeds the defined percentage of target traffic allowed through the port (a drop profile is determined to drop packets as desired paras 4, 35-43).

Regarding claim(s) 2, 5, 20, Wu discloses various port speeds (Fig. 5) and therefore different clocks.

Regarding claim(s) 3, 21, Wu discloses receiving the selected clock and incrementing a counter using the selected clock (para 39).

Regarding claim(s) 6, 12 and 23, Wu discloses comprising: comparing an amount of target traffic to the allowed amount of target traffic; and causing a status flag to be set when the amount of target traffic and the allowed amount of target traffic are equal (para 34, various types of traffic are marked to specific classes and tracked by a self adaptive fair scheduler to control and adjust traffic weights).

Regarding claim(s) 7, 13 and 24, Wu discloses dropping target traffic until the time interval represented by the scaled second value has elapsed (Fig. 5, specific profiling is used to drop traffic as desired).

Regarding claim(s) 8, 14 and 25 Wu discloses permitting target traffic through the port after the time interval represented by the scaled second value has elapsed (Fig. 6, target traffic is dynamically adjusted for different times).

Regarding claim(s) 9, 15, Wu discloses receiving the first value representing an allowed amount of broadcast, multicast, or destination unknown traffic (Fig. 5, TCP or UDP Kbps values representing allowed amount of target traffic).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2616

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu et al (US 2004/0177087 A1) as applied to claim 16 above, and further in view of Jones et al (USP 5,991,271).

Wu fails to explicitly disclose UTP and/or STP cabling for communications.

Jones discloses UTP and/or STP cabling for communications (col 1 lines 15-24). The use of different cablings allows for designers to accommodate cost and transmission parameters as desired. Thus it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Jones within Wu so as to provide a varied transmission medium to accommodate cost and transmission parameters as desired.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4, 11 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-25 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The Examiner has further clarified the rejections to clearly correlate applicant's claimed limitations with the cited references,

and thus the Examiner respectfully presents this Office Action for Applicant's consideration.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RAJ K. JAIN whose telephone number is (571)272-3145. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham can be reached on 571-272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Raj K. Jain
/Raj K. Jain/
Art Unit 2616

March 19, 2008